

TEESSIDE PENSION FUND

Administered by Middlesbrough Council

AGENDA ITEM 7

PENSION FUND COMMITTEE REPORT

9 DECEMBER 2020

DIRECTOR OF FINANCE – IAN WRIGHT

LGPS – NATIONAL KNOWLEDGE ASSESSMENT

1. PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

- 1.1 To provide Members with information about the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) National Knowledge Assessment facilitated by consultants Hymans Robertson and to ask Members to agree that they and Members of the Teesside Pension Board ('the Board') should undertake this assessment.

2. RECOMMENDATION

- 2.1 That Members agree
- to participate in the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) National Knowledge Assessment facilitated by consultants Hymans Robertson, to help assess the Committee's collective relevant LGPS knowledge with a view to facilitating targeted training to meet any training needs identified.
 - to include the members of the Teesside Pension Board in the assessment process, in line with the Board's request at its 2 November 2020 meeting.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 The cost of participating in the National Knowledge Assessment is £5,000 plus VAT. Assuming full participation by the Committee and Board this equates to around £240 a person.

4. BACKGROUND

- 4.1 In January 2019 the LGPS Scheme Advisory Board ("SAB") commissioned Hymans Robertson to assist in delivering a review of governance across the LGPS. This review was termed the 'Good Governance' project. This review recognised the Pension Regulator's ("TPR") push to increase governance and administration standards in pension schemes, including public service pension schemes, for which it has oversight responsibility.
- 4.2 TPR's sustained push to increase governance standards at LGPS funds can be traced through its:

- Code of Practice 14 document – which sets out the expectations, roles and responsibilities of the officers, decision makers (Committee) and Pension Board as regards to governance and administration standards
- 21st Century Trustee campaign – launched in summer 2019 and designed to raise the standards of those responsible for pension schemes
- 2018/2019 ‘deep dive’ into 10 LGPS funds – 10 funds of varying sizes were chosen and assessed based on the main components of the Code of Practice 14.

4.3 The purpose of the SAB Good Governance review was to examine existing governance arrangements and consider ways in which gaps could be identified and addressed, good practice shared more widely, and greater transparency provided. The SAB was clear that only recommendations that retained a link with local democratic accountability were to be considered.

4.4 Following Hymans Robertson’s review, proposals were set out in 6 main areas (see Appendix A for detail and proposals relating to the below areas):

- General;
- Conflicts of Interest;
- Representation;
- Knowledge, understanding and training;
- Service delivery for the LGPS function; and
- Compliance and Improvement

The full review document was provided to the 22 January 2020 Committee meeting.

4.5 Some of the key recommendations set out in the review included:

- Each LGPS Fund must have a single named officer who would be responsible for all LGPS-related activity for their Fund;
- Each Fund must produce a conflicts of interest policy;
- A requirement for key individuals within the LGPS, including LGPS officers and pensions committees, to have the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding to carry out their duties effectively
- Administering authorities to publish a policy setting out their approach to the delivery, assessment and recording of training
- Each administering authority reporting the Fund’s performance against an agreed set of indicators designed to measure standards of service

4.6 Key for the development of the National Knowledge Assessment are the Knowledge and Understanding recommendations within the Good Governance report. Within that section are recommendations that Pension Committees hold a similar level of knowledge to that of the Local Pension Board. The report stated that *“while there exists a statutory duty on members of local pension boards to maintain an appropriate level of knowledge and understanding to carry out their role effectively, no such statutory duty applies to those sitting on s101 committees”*. It then continues by stating *“the Guidance should mandate a similar knowledge and understanding requirement for those carrying out a delegated decision-making role on s101 committees”*.

4.7 The Good Governance report states that training should be provided in a “supportive environment” and “members will not be required to undertake a test, although it is recognised that best practice would include assessments or other means to identify gaps in knowledge”. The National Knowledge Assessment addresses these issues and is starting position for Pensions Committees and Boards knowledge and understanding requirements.

5. **FORMAT**

5.1 The knowledge assessment tool consists of at least five multiple choice questions in each of the following areas:

- Committee Role and Pensions Legislation
- Pensions Governance
- Pensions Administration
- Pensions Accounting and Audit Standards
- Procurement and Relationship Management
- Investment Performance and Risk Management
- Financial Markets and Product Knowledge
- Actuarial Methods, Standards and Practices

5.2 Should the Committee agree to progress with the Knowledge Assessment, each Committee and Board member will be asked to complete the assessment. As well as giving an indication of individual strengths and weaknesses, more importantly this type of assessment helps identify any areas where collectively the Committee or the Board require development. This would then allow more targeted training to be developed and delivered. In addition, as at least 20 LGPS Funds have already undertaken the Knowledge Assessment, it will be possible to benchmark the results against those of other Funds.

CONTACT OFFICER: Nick Orton – Head of Pensions Governance and Investments

TEL NO.: 01642 729040